Chlorophyll anomalies along the critical latitude at 30°N in the NE Pacific

Cara Wilson

Received 25 May 2011; revised 20 June 2011; accepted 21 June 2011; published 3 August 2011.

[1] The dissipation of surface tidal energy into internal tides plays a critical role in ocean mixing. However, quantifying the spatial distribution of this energy flux, which is required for ocean and climate modeling, has been largely based on modeling efforts and there is a need for validating observations. The summer development of large blooms of chlorophyll along 30°N in the E. Pacific is presented as evidence of enhanced tidal mixing. The region near 30° is a “double” critical latitude, with a transformation of internal waves occurring at both diurnal and semidiurnal frequencies. The breakdown at the critical latitude of internal waves generated at Hawaii could provide the physical mechanism to explain these blooms. The blooms develop in a region characterized by a weak summer surface stratification, which is therefore more susceptible to mixing. Citation: Wilson, C. (2011), Chlorophyll anomalies along the critical latitude at 30°N in the NE Pacific, Geophys. Res. Lett., 38, L15603, doi:10.1029/2011GL048210.

1. Introduction

[2] Measurements of turbulent mixing in the ocean are an order of magnitude weaker than has been calculated as needed to drive the large-scale ocean thermohaline circulation, suggesting that there must be localized areas of intensified mixing [Munk and Wunsch, 1998; Rudnick et al., 2003]. Tidal mixing, and the cascade of energy involving surface tides, internal waves (IW), breaking IWs, and turbulence generation have been postulated to supply about half of the required energy [Rudnick et al., 2003]. Better estimates of ocean mixing and its geographical variability are needed to improve global ocean circulation and climate models [Alford and Zhao, 2007]. While there have been many modeling studies on IWs and their potential contribution to ocean mixing, observational evidence is harder to obtain [Gerkema and Shrira, 2006; MacKinnon and Winters, 2005; Simmons, 2008].

[3] One of the most prominent areas of deep-ocean tidal energy loss is the Hawaiian Ridge [Merrifield et al., 2001; Rudnick et al., 2003]. While it occupies <0.3% of the global ocean area, it is estimated to account for 2% of the global deep water tidal energy loss [Rudnick et al., 2003]. Approximately half of the energy loss at the Hawaiian Ridge is radiated away through IWs [Merrifield et al., 2001; Rudnick et al., 2003], and some have been observed to propagate as far north as 40°N [Alford and Zhao, 2007; Dushaw et al., 1995] and the Aleutian Islands [Zhao and Alford, 2009]. Results from the Hawaii Ocean Mixing Experiment have reduced the uncertainties in the tidal energy budget around Hawaii, but there are still questions about where and how all of the energy is dissipated [Pinkel and Rudnick, 2006], and there are probably hot spots of turbulence that have not been observed [Klymak et al., 2006].

[4] Latitude impacts the behavior of IWs. The term “critical latitude” has been used in reference to two different dynamics. At the inertial latitude, \( \lambda I \), the tidal frequency, \( f \), equals the inertial frequency, \( f \) [Furevik and Foldvik, 1996; Robertson, 2001]. This latitude is also called the ‘turning latitude’ as internal tides can not propagate poleward of it, and must travel equatorward towards lower \( f \). Diurnal tidal components have \( \lambda I \) near 30°, whereas for semi-diurnal tidal components, which generally predominate, the \( \lambda I \) occur at higher latitudes, poleward of 70°. The major tidal components and their \( \lambda I \) are summarized in Table 1.

[5] The term “critical latitude” has also been used to refer to the latitude where the tidal frequency is twice the local inertial frequency [Alford et al., 2007; MacKinnon and Winters, 2005]. At this latitude there is potential for enhanced mixing through parametric subharmonic instability (PSI) [MacKinnon and Winters, 2004]. Here this latitude will be referred to as \( \lambda PSI \), and these values for the tidal components are also given in Table 1. Diurnal tidal components have \( \lambda PSI \) near 15°, and for semi-diurnal tidal components it is near 30°. The region near 30° is a “double” critical latitude, with potential energy loss occurring at both diurnal and semidiurnal frequencies (Table 1). In the rest of this paper the term “critical latitude” will refer to ~30°, where both the semi-diurnal \( \lambda PSI \) and the diurnal \( \lambda I \) occur. Both semi-diurnal (\( M_2 \)) and diurnal (\( K_1 \)) tidal components are considered.

[6] Modeling studies have indicated that enhanced mixing should be occurring at both the \( \lambda PSI \) and the \( \lambda I \), but there is a general paucity of supporting observational data [Gerkema and Shrira, 2006; Simmons, 2008]. Here evidence of enhanced mixing at the critical latitude (30°N) in the N. Pacific, is introduced in the form of summertime chlorophyll \( a \) (chl) blooms which have consistently been observed by satellite data (Figure 1) in this area [Dore et al., 2008; Wilson and Qiu, 2008]. So far there has not been an adequate physical mechanism to explain the formation of these features. While Finite Size Lyapunov Exponents have been used to explain the evolution of these features, the specific mechanisms of nutrient injection remain unclear [Calil et al., 2011; Calil and Richards, 2010]. The idea that IWs are generating a biological response in this region is not new. Observations of enhanced summer production at the CLIMAX site (see Figure 1) in the late 1960s led McGowan
and Hayward [1978] to suggest that breaking IWs were creating enhanced mixing in this region.

2. Data

[7] Monthly and weekly composites of satellite chl data from SeaWIFS from 1997–2006 and from MODIS from 2007–2010 were analyzed. ARGO float data from 2006–2010, and nutrient data from the World Ocean Atlas 2009 [Garcia et al., 2010] were used to examine hydrographic conditions within the bloom region. The satellite and ARGO data were acquired from the ERDDAP server at NOAA/SWFS/ERD, and the nutrient data from the LDEO/IRI data library.

3. The Bloom Region

[8] Chl blooms near 30°N in the NE Pacific are consistent events in the late summer. These features have been mostly unsampled and it is not clear what physical dynamics cause them [Dore et al., 2008; Villareal et al., 2011; Wilson and Qiu, 2008; Wilson et al., 2008]. While there is interannual variability in the location of the chl blooms, and there have been some years when they do not develop [Wilson and Qiu, 2008], they generally develop northeast of the Hawaiian Ridge, in a region bounded by 130°–160°W and 29°–34°N (Figure 1). With the exception of one spring bloom in 2010, they have never developed west of the Hawaiian Ridge [Calil et al., 2011]. Their location has more longitudinal variability than latitudinal, and the longitudinal variation has a bi-modal distribution; they tend to have either an eastern location centered near 138°W, or a western location near 152°W. This distribution can be seen more clearly in Figure 2, which shows the specific surface initiation point of individual blooms, determined from analysis of weekly chl images.

[9] The dominant phytoplankton associated with the chl blooms are diatoms with nitrogen-fixing endosymbionts [Dore et al., 2008; Villareal et al., 2011; Wilson et al., 2008], whose growth is limited by phosphate, silicate or iron. Dore et al. [2008] postulate that the chl blooms are controlled mainly by phosphate supply, and that their development in the eastern part of the NE Pacific is due to the shallower phosphacline there. Calil et al. [2011] argue that the western 2010 bloom was triggered in part by anomalous dust deposition alleviating iron limitation.

[10] Here it is hypothesized that enhanced mixing at the critical latitude, caused by the breakdown of IWs generated at the Hawaiian Ridge, provides the nutrient injection that stimulates the observed chl blooms. This mechanism would also explain why the blooms predominantly develop east of Hawaii. The waves propagate roughly perpendicular to the ridge axis, so they travel either northeast or southwest. The waves traveling southwest would not encounter the critical latitude at 30°N. Furthermore, the bi-modal distribution pattern of the blooms’ location can also be related to the pattern of IW generation at the Hawaiian Ridge. IW generation does not occur uniformly along the Hawaiian Ridge, rather there are certain “hot spots” where the energy fluxes are stronger [Merrifield et al., 2001; Rudnick et al., 2003; Zhao et al., 2010]. These occur at French Frigate Shoals (FFS, 161.1°W), Nihoa Island (NI, 162°W) and the Kauai Channel (KC, 158.8°W) and are indicated on Figure 2, along with the northeast M1 energy fluxes from the PEZHAT numerical model (courtesy of E. Zaron). The two most northerly pathways from FFS and NI cross the critical latitude near 160°W, slightly west of the bloom region, while a northeast path out of FFS crosses the critical latitude in the western region of where the blooms develop. Fluxes from KC are more easterly, and while the model domain does not capture the critical latitude, the data show that there are anomalous fluxes in the eastern region. The chl blooms develop between these anomalous fluxes, particularly where the enhanced fluxes from KC are strongest.

Figure 1. The percentage of time that chl is above 0.15 mg/m³ during Jul–Oct of 1997–2010 in the N. Pacific (n = 54). Monthly data, for July through October, from SeaWiFS for 1997–2006, and from MODIS for 2007–2010 were used. The summer chl blooms develop between 130°–160°W near the K1 λc and the M2 λPSI, as indicated by the white line at 30°N. The white dot is the location of the CLIMAX station. The bloom area (defined as 25°–35°N, 150°–130°W) has a minimum number of 36 datapoints, and is mostly outside of the region of persistent cloudiness that occurs between 120°–140°W, and 20°–30°N.
not extend that far, extrapolation of the flux directions has them crossing the critical latitude near 140°W, in the eastern lobe of the bloom region. Energy fluxes determined from mooring data from 28°N, 152°W [Alford and Zhao, 2007] are slightly larger than the model estimates but in the same direction (Figure 2). Altimetric estimates of the internal tide from interactions with varying stratification or eddy fields [Chiswell, 2006; Rainville and Pinkel, 2006] as mentioned previously there are processes near 30° that impact both semi-diurnal and diurnal tidal components. Both are considered here because Hawaii lies near the semi-diurnal amphidromic point [Ray, 1999], and as a result the semi-diurnal tidal amplitude does not dominate here as much as in other regions of the ocean. It is interesting to note that the blooms actually develop within the semi-diurnal amphidromic point (see auxiliary material). The amplitudes of the M2 and K1 tidal components at Hawaii are 20 cm and 15 cm respectively [Ray, 1999]. However, it appears that the semi-diurnal baroclinic waves are generated more efficiently than the diurnal tides [Chiswell, 2002].

4. PSI

[12] Studies of PSI, the process where energy is transferred from the semi-diurnal M2 internal tide to its subharmonic ½M2, have focused on the \( \lambda_{\psi} \) at 28.8° [Hibiya and Nagasawa, 2004; MacKinnon and Winters, 2004, 2005]. However, this dynamic is not restricted to just the \( \lambda_{\psi} \), and can occur equatorward of it. Observations and model results indicate that substantial conversion of energy from M2 frequencies to ½M2 occurs near Hawaii, considerably south of the \( \lambda_{\psi} \) [Carter and Gregg, 2006; Xie et al., 2011]. However, at the \( \lambda_{\psi} \), the recipient waves are almost inertial and can’t propagate further northward (see next section) and remain trapped locally [MacKinnon and Winters, 2005]. MacKinnon and Winters [2004] estimate that at the \( \lambda_{\psi} \) nearly 80% of the tidal energy is dissipated locally, resulting in “mixing hotspots” at 28.8°.

5. Inertial Latitude

[13] Under linear wave theory, when IWs are at their inertial latitude they can no longer propagate poleward and are reflected towards the equator. However, recent work taking into account “non-traditional effects”, i.e., the horizontal component of the earth’s rotation, shows that waves can penetrate past their inertial latitude [Gerkema and Shrira, 2005]. Shrira and Townsend [2010] describe a phenomenon called singular focusing where IWs continue past the \( \lambda_i \) in narrow waveguides bounded by local minima in the buoyancy frequency, \( N^2 \). The waves do not propagate indefinitely, there is an “absorption latitude”, which can be several degrees poleward of the \( \lambda_i \) depending on local stratification. IWs accumulate at the “absorption latitude,” and the simultaneous increase in wave amplitude and decrease in vertical scale leads to increased mixing within these \( N^2 \) minima waveguides [Gerkema and Shrira, 2005; Shrira and Townsend, 2010].

[14] To see where these waveguides occur within the bloom region, sections of the buoyancy frequency along 30°N, calculated from ARGO float data, are shown in Figure 3. Throughout the year the subsurface \( N^2 \) maximum is at 50–100 m depth in the western part of the section near 180°W and gradually deepens to 200–250 m depth at 130°W. In the summertime (Figure 3b) a layer of high \( N^2 \) develops near 50 m depth. It is stronger in the west, but extends across the entire section, creating a subsurface \( N^2 \) minimum at 50–150 m depth between 130°–150°W, the same region where the chl blooms develop. The depth of the subsurface \( N^2 \) minimum is coincident with the pycnocline, as indicated
by the contours of phosphate on Figure 3, so enhanced mixing within the N$_2$ minimum waveguide would deliver nutrients into the surface layer. West of this region there is no subsurface N$_2$ minimum, and with virtually no N$_2$ minimum at the surface, mixing induced by singular focusing will get focused in the N$_2$ minimum in the deep ocean. Outside of the bloom season (Figures 3a and 3c) mixing will be focused in the N$_2$ minimum at the surface or at depth. However the surface N$_2$ minimum does not intersect with the phosphacline and therefore mixing will not lead to an upward nutrient flux. The summer stratification pattern (Figure 3b) explains why blooms are not observed west of ~150°W, despite the concentrated tidal fluxes that arrive there (Figure 2). The fall stratification pattern shows some bimodality in the buoyancy frequency around 140°W and 155°W (Figure 3c) that is similar to the bloom distribution (Figure 1).

6. Other Factors

[15] The chl blooms often develop around the periphery of anticyclonic eddies [Calil and Richards, 2010; Wilson and Qiu, 2008]. Yet paradoxically, the region of the Pacific where the blooms develop is one with extremely weak eddy kinetic energy (EKE) [Calil and Richards, 2010; Wilson and Qiu, 2008]. The EKE drops by an order of magnitude, from values >300 cm$^2$ s$^{-2}$ west of the dateline to <30 cm$^2$ s$^{-2}$ in the bloom region [Ducet et al., 2000]. If the blooms were generated purely by eddy dynamics they should be concentrated in the western N. Pacific, where they are conspicuously absent, not in the eastern N. Pacific where the EKE is...
low. This paradox is repeated on a local level, as the eddies that the blooms develop around are generally not the strongest eddies in the area [Wilson and Qiu, 2008]. Calil and Richards [2010] demonstrate that the 30°N chl anomalies are located in regions of large stretching of the background flow field. However, they note that while the horizontal stirring resulting from the flow field controls the evolution of the chl distribution, the local vertical nutrient injection is a separate process.

[16] In discussing the difficulty of finding observational evidence for enhanced mixing associated with the $\lambda_{PSI}$, Simmons [2008] suggests that eddy dynamics, which are not included in most internal wave models, could have an important impact, specifically that a strong eddy field could smear out the effects of PSI. This offers an additional explanation why the blooms develop along the critical latitude in the eastern, but not the western, N. Pacific. Namely, the strong EKE field in the western N. Pacific dampens out the PSI effects, while the weak EKE in the eastern N. Pacific allows these processes to be better manifested.

[17] A factor of two difference in the amount of tidal energy conversion occurring at KC was observed from just a six-month deployment [Zilberman et al., 2011]. It’s possible that the location of the bloom every year could be revealing interannual differences in the strength of the tidal fluxes generated at the Hawaiian Ridge. However, before arriving at the critical latitude both the magnitude and direction of the tidal fluxes could have been modified from interactions with the mesoscale current field, varying stratification and other IWs [Alford et al., 2007; Chavanne et al., 2010; Rainville and Pinkel, 2006], which will complicate the potential relationship between the strength of IW generation at the ridge and bloom development at the critical latitude.

[18] Clearly the Hawaiian Ridge is not the only hot spot in the ocean where IWs are being generated, and it is logical to wonder if similar bloom features are observed elsewhere. The trick is to find an area where (1) the IW’s beams are intense enough to make a substantial impact at the critical latitude, and (2) the environment is simple enough so that a clear biological signal can be identified. Given the general dominance of the semi-diurnal component of tides (see Table 1) most studies have focused on the semi-diurnal $\lambda_2$ at high latitudes [Makinison et al., 2006; Robertson, 2001], and enhanced productivity attributed to the $\lambda_2$ has been observed in the Barents Sea [Furevik and Foldvik, 1996]. However, detecting anomalous chl signals will be challenging at the high latitude $\lambda_2$ given the more complicated biological dynamics there. The Tuamotu Archipelago (~18°S, 145°W) in the S. Pacific is a region of IW generation [Simmons et al., 2004], but no chl anomalies are observed along 30°S where the waves would cross the critical latitude [Wilson and Qiu, 2008]. However, the behavior of IWs can vary at different generation points. The single high ridge at Hawaii generates waves that propagate long distances, but at small-scale topographical features, such as the Tuamotu Archipelago, most of the energy probably goes into modes that do not propagate far before dissipating [Nycander, 2005] and so would not reach 30°S. Chl anomalies have been observed in the S. Pacific around New Caledonia and Vanuatu [Wilson and Qiu, 2008], another region of IW generation [Simmons et al., 2004]. The dynamics in this area are complicated by the topography and the multitude of islands, such that there are numerous factors that could be driving the chl variability in this region [Wilson and Qiu, 2008].

7. Summary

[19] Satellite chl data has led to the discovery of substantial late summer chl blooms in the oligotrophic NE pacific gyre. While we have a basic understanding of the phytoplankton community involved [Dore et al., 2008; Villareal et al., 2011; Wilson et al., 2008], the physical stimulus for these blooms has remained elusive. Their consistent development in the NE Pacific, rarely occurring west of Hawaii, indicates that basin-scale mechanisms are responsible. The Hawaiian Ridge is a “hotspot” for IW generation, with the waves propagating roughly normal to the ridge, i.e., in a northeast and southwest direction. The breakdown of these IWs at the critical latitude can explain the consistent location of these features in the NE Pacific. The location of the bloom every year could be revealing interannual differences in the strength of the tidal fluxes from the different generation points. Basin-scale changes in EKE, stratification and nutrient distributions [Dore et al., 2008] are all probably contributing factors to the development of the blooms in the eastern NE Pacific. Future modeling studies of IWs should try to simulate the biological features observed by satellite data.
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